This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,007	12/15/2000	Yoshinori Nakagawa	UNIUS-203 (10025497)	1296
24972 75	90 04/11/2003			<u>-</u>
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			SPISICH, MARK	
·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

ease find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/738,007	NAKAGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
i	Mark Spisich	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
ILS. Patent and Trademark Office	·					

The second state of the contract of the second state of the second

· in the particular and the

the season said and a care and

Application/Control Number: 09/738,007

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "Holder portion" (claim 10, line 2) lacks antecedent. Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3,6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 6-90824. '824 discloses an electronic toothbrush comprising a brush head portion (A), a holder portion (B), an n-type semiconductor (9) and a battery (6) electrically coupled to the n-type semiconductor (see the attached translated abstract and detailed description).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/738,007

Art Unit: 1744

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 f this title, if the difference sheween the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art technical which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Nakagawa et al (USP 4,526,570) in view of Sakuma et al (USP 4,691,718). The patent to Nakagawa discloses an electronic toothbrush comprising a brush head portion (1), a holder portion (2) and an n-type semiconductor (4) which is arranged to be exposed to natural and/or manmade light. The patent to Nakagawa further teaches that "the semiconductors may be additionally activated by means of an external voltage so as to amplify the photoelectric effect, within the spirit of the invention" (see column 4, lines 40-43); however, it falls short of mentioning a battery as part of the toothbrush. The patent to Sakuma discloses an electronic toothbrush (1) with a button-type 1.5 V battery (5) (see column 3, lines 40-41) so as to apply a voltage to an exposed conductor (6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided the device of Nakagawa with such a battery to apply the external voltage clearly suggested by Nakagawa at column 4 (lines 40-43) in that such batteries are readily available and low in cost. The patent to Nakagawa discloses the same n-type semiconductor recited in claim 2 and also states that it may be crystalline (claim 4) (see column 4, line 47). The semiconductor (4) of Nakagawa is rod-like. There would have to be a conductive line (claim 5) to connect the semiconductor to the battery (claim 5). Claims 6-10 essentially parallel claims 1-5 and need not be repeated.

Application/Control Number: 09/738,007

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 48-27390 is pertinent to an electronic toothbrush with a conductor (5) connecting to a battery (3) with a conductive line (6) and JP 2000-236948 is pertinent to a toothbrush which uses an n-type semiconductor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS April 10, 2003